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## Outline

- Major physics goals
- Physics productivity, organization, planning
- Physics progress: a sampling
\& CP violation in $\boldsymbol{B}$ decays: a brief primer
$\Leftrightarrow$ The new $\sin 2 \beta$ program: the charmonium benchmark vs. the penguins
\& Quest for alpha: a new approach
$\leftrightarrow$ Rare decays: a growth industry
$\leftrightarrow B$ "beams" \& their applications-CKM elements and the dynamics of B decay
$\Leftrightarrow$ Surprising spectroscopy: the new charm-strange mesons
- Prospects for summer 2004 and beyond
- Conclusions


## BABAR Physics Goals

1. Perform comprehensive set of measurements of CP-violating asymmetries in $B$ meson decays. Test the SM and search for CPviolating amplitudes from processes beyond the SM.
2. Systematically map out the new territory of rare $B$ decay processes, including all that have sensitivity to new physics. (Also probe new physics in $\tau$ and charm decays.)
3. Measure the rates for all processes that can be used to extract the magnitudes of CKM elements and other well-defined theoretical parameters.
4. Perform detailed studies to elucidate the decay dynamics and spectroscopy of particles containing $b$ or $c$ quarks.
5. Perform studies of other accessible physics processes allowed by the broad acceptance of the BABAR trigger: $\tau$-lepton physics, physics utilizing ISR processes (including R-related measurements at low energy), searches for new states such as pentaquarks, etc.

## Publications: BABAR vs. Belle (published or submitted)

|  | BABAR | Belle |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $<2003$ | 34 | 54 |
| 2003 | 47 | 28 |
| 2004 (June 1) | 16 | 10 |
| Total | 97 | 92 |

- BABAR papers are labeled according to the date the paper goes into 2-week Collaboration Wide Review (CWR).
- At time of Jan IFC meeting: 75 (BABAR) vs. 77 (Belle).
- Analyses now in CWR or FN/FR: (1) $B \rightarrow f_{0} K_{S}$, (2) $B \rightarrow K^{*} \gamma$, (3) $B \rightarrow D^{*} l v$ : Vcb, (4) $B \rightarrow \tau \nu,(5) B \rightarrow a_{0} X$ (charmless)
- Other papers nearing completion: (1) $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{K}_{2} *(1430) \gamma$, (2) $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{D}^{(*)} \boldsymbol{D}_{s J}$, (3) $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow$ $J / \psi K \pi: \cos (2 \beta),(4) B \rightarrow D^{*} D^{*}$ and related modes
- GOAL: submit 100th paper by the July collaboration meeting!


## Some recent physics highlights - June 2004

- $B \rightarrow \rho^{+} \rho^{-}: 1^{\text {st }}$ observation of mode; demonstrated that polarization is almost $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ longitudinal $\rightarrow \mathbf{C P}=+1$, not a mix. (PRL accepted.)
- $B \rightarrow \rho^{+} \rho^{-}: 1^{\text {st }}$ time-dependent CP asymmetry: presented at La Thuile and Moriond. Since G-Q bound is $\mathbf{1 3}$ degrees, this measurement provides a much better constraint on $\alpha$ than $B \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$. Belle has not yet produced a branching fraction measurement. Submitted to PRL.
- $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{K}_{S} \pi^{0}: 1^{\text {st }}$ time-dependent $\mathbf{C P}$ analysis; $\beta$ measurement using beam constraint to get $\boldsymbol{B}$ vertex. Submitted to PRL.
- B $\rightarrow \boldsymbol{K}^{* 0}\left(\rightarrow K_{S} \pi^{0}\right) \gamma: 1^{\text {st }}$ time-dependent $\mathbf{C P}$ analysis of an electroweak penguin mode. Submitted to PRL.
- $B \rightarrow f_{0}(980) K_{S}: 1^{\text {st }}$ time-dependent CP analysis $(\beta)$ and observation of new mode. PRL draft in Final Notice.
- B $\rightarrow J / \psi K \pi: 1^{\text {st }}$ ambiguity-free measurement of $\cos 2 \beta$ and strong phases using $s$-wave $K \pi$ interference with $p$-wave ( $K^{*}$ ). Preliminary result presented at Moriond EW. PRD in progress.


## Some recent research highlights - June 2004

- $\left|V_{c b}\right|$ from inclusive $B$ decays, hadron spectrum moments, lepton spectrum moments: 2 PRDs accepted and 1 PRL submitted. Uncertainty on $\left|V_{c b}\right|$ is significantly reduced.
- $B \rightarrow \phi \boldsymbol{K}_{S}, \boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \phi \boldsymbol{K}_{L}$ : final Run 1-3 result, submitted to PRL
- $B \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} K_{S}$ with $m\left(K^{+} K^{-}\right)$above the $\phi$ mass $(\sin 2 \beta$ measurement consistent with $\left.B \rightarrow \mathrm{~J} / \psi K_{S}\right)$. BABAR and Belle agree above the $\phi$ mass! PRL submitted.
- $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \eta \omega(4.3 \sigma), \eta \eta, \eta \eta^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}, \eta \phi, \eta^{\prime} \omega, \eta^{\prime} \phi, \phi \phi:$ PRL submitted.
- $\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \boldsymbol{D}(K \pi) \boldsymbol{K}($ Atwood Dunietz Soni method) -limit: submitted to PRL
- Observation of $X(3872)$ in $B \rightarrow X(3872) K$; presented at Moriond QCD, PRL in Final Notice.
- Observation of $\operatorname{DsJ}(2317)$ and $D s J(2460)$ in $B$ decay. Presented at Moriond QCD. Several new modes observed. PRD in preparation.
- Angular analysis of $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \phi \boldsymbol{K}^{*}$ preliminary result presented at Moriond. Mystery of the very low longitudinal polarization (52\%) compared to other $B \rightarrow V V$ modes. Will be updated with Run 4 before publication.


## Current analysis status- June 2004

Research highlights, continued

- $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \eta^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{K}^{*}, \rho, \pi^{0}\right)+(\omega, \phi) \pi^{0}:$ PRD submitted
- $B \rightarrow X_{s} l^{+} l$ : PRL submitted
- B $\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{X}_{s} \gamma$ direct $\boldsymbol{C P}$ : PRL submitted
- $B \rightarrow K^{*} \gamma$ branching fractions and direct $C P$ search: PRL in Final Notice.
- $B \rightarrow a_{0}\left(K, K^{0}, \pi\right)$ : now in CWR
- B $\rightarrow K^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}:$preliminary result
- New results for APS! $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow p \boldsymbol{K} ; \boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \omega \rho, \boldsymbol{K}^{*} \omega ; \boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \phi \gamma ; \boldsymbol{1}^{\text {st }}$ results from pentaquark searches.


## Other recent physics papers

- $\boldsymbol{B}^{+} \rightarrow \eta \pi^{+}, \eta \boldsymbol{K}^{+}, \boldsymbol{B}^{0} \rightarrow \omega \boldsymbol{K}^{0} \quad \mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ observations: accepted
- Color suppressed $\boldsymbol{B}$ decays: $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow D^{* 0} \eta, D^{* 0} \omega, \boldsymbol{D}^{0} \eta^{\prime} \mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ observations: accepted
- B $\rightarrow J / \psi \eta K \quad 1^{\text {st }}$ observation: submitted
- $\Delta \Gamma$ and CPT limits from $B \rightarrow J / \psi K^{0}:$ PRL and PRD submitted
- $\sin (2 \beta+\gamma)$ : inclusive \& exclusive $B \rightarrow D^{*} \pi: 2$ papers submitted
- $B \rightarrow p \bar{p}$ search accepted
- $\quad \tau \rightarrow 3$ leptons (limits): accepted (Belle paper followed)
- $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathbf{2 4 5 8})$ : accepted
- Mass and width of $\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{4 S})$ : submitted


## BABAR Physics Planning Fall 2003/Winter 2004

CKM Angles, Recoil Workshops


## Stage 1

AWGs, Conveners, PAC

- BAD 736


## Review

Physics Advisory
Group

- BAD 780
$\tau$, Vxb Workshops



## Stage $2 \rightarrow$ BAIS

AWGs, Conveners
(many new), PAC

- Enter active \& summer 2004 analyses in BAIS

Spectroscopy workshop: charm, charmonium, pentaquarks

Fall Physics Harvest
AWGs, Conveners,
PAC, Pub Board

## Winter Conference Prep

AWGs, Conveners, PAC, Pub Board, Speakers Bureau; see links on BABAR home page \& Physics page.


## Physics Organization: Working Groups

| Physics AWG | Conveners (new since Sep 03) |
| :--- | :--- |
| sin2ß/Mixing \& Lifetime | David Lange, Owen Long |
| B decays to charm final states (Breco) | Vivek Sharma, Wouter Verkerke |
| Charmonium | Enrico Robutti, Denis Bernard |
| Inclusive Hadronic B Decay (IHBD) | Abi Soffer, Sergey Ganzhur |
| Charmless 2 Body | Gianluca Cavoto, Carlo Dallapiccola |
| Charmless Quasi 2 Body | $\underline{\text { Jim Smith, Adrian Bevan }}$ |
| Charmless 3 Body | $\underline{\text { Andreas Hoecker, Yibin Pan }}$ |
| Radiative Penguin | $\underline{\text { Jeff Berryhill, Jim Libby }}$ |
| Exclusive Semileptonic | Franco Simonetto, Robert Kowalewski |
| Inclusive Semileptonic | Riccardo Faccini, Vera Luth |
| Leptonic | Steven Robertson, Gregory Dubois-Felsmann |
| Charm | David Williams, Antimo Palano |
| Tau/QED | Mike Roney, Eric Torrence |
| Inclusive Hadronic Particle Spectra | Blair Ratcliff, David Muller |


| Tools Group | Conveners (new since Sep 03) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Generators | Abi Soffer |
| Particle ID | Thorsten Brandt, David Aston |
| Neutrals | Vincent Tisserand, David Payne |
| Tracking efficiency task force | Thomas Allmendinger |
| Tracking [in computing] | Wouter Hulsbergen |
| Tagging | David Lange, Gabriella Sciolla |
| Pentaquark Task Force | Pat Burchat, Valerie Halyo |
| Physics Software Manager | Chris Roat $\rightarrow$ Chih-hsiang Chen |
| Data Quality Group Coordinator | Chris Hearty $\rightarrow$ Walter Toki |
| Data Quality Group Deputy | Walter Toki $\rightarrow$ David Hutchcroft |
| Publications Board (12 members) | Chair: G.H. Monchenault $\rightarrow \underline{\text { Robert Cahn }}$ |
| Powerful physics organization |  |
| - AWG Leadership has broad geographical base <br> - Conveners: many leadership opportunties for postdocs <br> - 21 new Physics/Tools Group conveners since Sept 03 <br> - Formal links between Tools groups and Physics Groups |  |

## Comments on Physics Productivity

- We are working to increase physics productivity even more:

PAC Champagne Challenges
$\Leftrightarrow$ Maintain very strong emphasis on physics planning.
$\leftrightarrow$ We are working towards making the review process more efficient, while maintaining the quality of our results.
$\Leftrightarrow$ Steady stream of theorists interacting with physics analysis groups. Series of physics workshops to generate ideas and facilitate planning.
$\Leftrightarrow$ New "interdisciplinary" meetings trermacross analysis working groups.

## Data Quality Group

- In fall 2003, we greatly strengthened our effort to monitor and control data quality.
\& Have always had online data quality monitoring \& a small number of people looking at data quality offline.
\& Offline effort is now much larger (about 20 people) and has leadership from senior physicsists. Coordinated effort of Detector, Computing, and Physics organizations. This is essential, given how rapidly we take data.
- The DQG monitors several different data streams:
\& Run 4 data \& Run 4 reprocessing with final constants
\& Run 1-3 conversion to CM2
\& Trickle injection monitoring
\& Overall rates from physics skims
- Data quality protocol based on rapid data processing in Padova \& SLAC
\& Tues morning: run list up to Mon is defined
\& by Weds night: subsystem experts define individual bad run lists
$\&$ Thurs weekly meeting: define official good run list



## Physics Analysis Database: new starting Jan 2004

| OBAI | BaBar Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Le | Help Analysis Index Analysis Detailed Index <br> Create new analysis entry Create new AWG  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis index for all AWGs |  |  |  |  |  |  | Already has 235 analyses entered! |  |  |  | Sorin |  |
| BAIS contains 235 analyses. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | Sort by: \& A <br> Sort order: A As <br> Select AWG: Any <br> Select target publication period: Any <br> Select target publication: Any <br> Select target conference: Any | Analysis Selection \& Sort FormAWG Code $\subseteq$ Publication Status $\subseteq$ Analysis Name $\subseteq$ Conference Status Ascending CDescending |  |  |  | Sorting and searching |  |  |  |
|  | Select target conference: | Access to supporting documentation and publication, review committees, data samples used, code releases used, status history. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| awg Code | Anawe Nome | $\substack{\text { Pumicaioon } \\ \text { Stauss }}$ |  | $\substack{\text { Target } \\ \text { Joumal }}$ | Conferene statas | $\underset{\substack{\text { Target } \\ \text { Conference }}}{\text { ater }}$ | $\underbrace{}_{\substack{\text { Review } \\ \text { Comm. }}}$ |  |  |
| 1 breceor201 |  | PIB | 303 | PRL | aprovedinter | CP20 |  |  |  |
| 2 brece-0202 |  | Acc | 403 | probapm | Approviditimaal | ${ }_{\text {Ipo3 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {comm3 }}^{\text {comos }}$ |  |  |
| 3 3rece-0203 |  | Ассер | 403 | PRL |  |  | ${ }_{\text {comm }}^{\text {coma }}$ |  |  |
| 4 treceor204 |  | ${ }_{\text {Accrer }}$ | 403 | PRD |  |  |  |  |  |
| Streceor20 |  | Aссерт | 303 | ${ }^{\text {prL }}$ | aprovidititwal | Heprous | commil |  |  |

## Physics Analysis Database：Info for one Analysis

| Analysis name：link to details |  | k Working Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | code reosman | Tesersatame | nermemme |
| Namement | and |  | 41 | ${ }^{p x}$ |  | 401 |  |
| 2 | 边 | \％ | ar | mamin |  | man |  |
| 4 | 边 |  | $1 \times 1$ | PII |  | ， |  |
| 5lamexame | mbesace | \％ | 14 | w | Mriozecor |  |  |
| dameas $0^{3} 3$ | ＂10 | \％ |  | m |  |  |  |
| 7cmasasa | \％ | \％ | ${ }^{203}$ | Pa，med |  |  |  |
| 2mancons | Wruw | 0 |  | w／ |  |  |  |
| 9，micatase | and | Acar | 14 | pas |  |  |  |
| \％manam | atamed | （cast | $1 \times$ | masme |  | 400 |  |
| 践 |  | Wix | ${ }_{20}^{104}$ | mi |  |  |  |
| Hommeat |  |  |  |  |  | \％ma |  |
|  | and |  | ${ }^{\text {з }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 5lamem |  | ＂un | ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | wor Expa | mes |
|  | max | wiv | ${ }^{3 \times 4}$ |  |  | ， |  |
| ${ }^{10}$ cmacesatas |  | \％ | 14 | ${ }^{380}$ |  | war wry |  |
| 1 m minas ane | mandeme |  | ${ }^{14}$ | ${ }^{p x}$ |  | manceon | mameme |
|  |  | manc | ${ }_{204}^{104}$ |  |  | anmm | mals |
| 31 mamexates |  | vi | 3 | \％00 |  | cump |  |
| Cumatem |  |  | ＊ |  |  | camem |  |
|  | maman AmP | manc |  |  |  | （cmix | meme |
| 21. | 边 |  | ＊4 |  |  | （catem |  |

## Physics Analysis Database: Analyses in Final Stages



## Physics Analysis Database: Info on an analysis

## Data samples and code release



## CP Violation Primer

- CP violation can be observed by comparing decay rates of particles and antiparticles

$$
\Gamma(a \rightarrow f) \neq \Gamma(\bar{a} \rightarrow \bar{f}) \quad \Rightarrow C P \text { violation }
$$

- The difference in decay rates arises from a different interference term for the matter vs. antimatter process. Analogy to double-slit experiment:


Classical double-slit experiment: Relative phase variation due to different path lengths: interference pattern in space
$B$ system: extraordinary laboratory for quantum interference experiments: many final states, multiple "paths."


## Conditions for CP violation



- Two amplitudes, $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$, with a relative CP-violating phase ( $\phi_{2}$ ) only.
- No CP violation: the magnitudes of $A$ and $A$ are the same!
- Two amplitudes, $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$, with both a relative CP-violating phase and CP-conserving phase $\left(\delta_{2}\right)$.
- Now have CP violation!


## A dictionary of CP violating effects in decay processes

- CP violation in the interference between two decay amplitudes ("Direct CP violation")
\& Decay amps must have different CP violating and CP conserving phases.
\& CP conserving phase from strong, final-state interaction, so difficult to interpret results in terms of CKM parameters
$\leftrightarrow$ Can measure in both $\mathbf{B}^{0} \overline{\mathbf{B}}^{0}$ and $\mathbf{B}^{+} / \mathbf{B}$ - decays (time-indep); tagging not needed
- CP violation in mixing
\& Interference is between bundle of amplitudes with on-shell (real) intermediate states and bundle of amplitudes for off-shell (virtual) intermediate states.
\& CP conserving phase from mixing
\& B mixing is completely dominated by processes with virtual intermediate states, so there is very little CP violation in mixing ( $\mathbf{q} / \mathrm{p}$ ).
- CP violating in the interference between mixing and decay amplitudes
\& Tagging required
\& CP conserving phase from mixing
\& If only one direct decay amplitude, has clean CKM interpretation


## Looking for the perfect way to study CP violation

| Type of CP <br> violation | Sources of amplitudes | Source of CP <br> conserving phase | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Direct | 2 or more direct decay <br> amplitudes | strong, final-state <br> interactions; value <br> is usually not known | Can study both <br> neutral and charged <br> particle decays. |
| CPv in particle- <br> antiparticle <br> oscillations <br> $($ mixing $)$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{K}^{0}-\overline{K^{0}}, \mathbf{B}^{0}-\overline{\mathbf{B}^{0}}\right)$ | $\Delta \Gamma:$ group of amps <br> with real intermediate <br> states <br> $\Delta \mathbf{M}:$ group of amps <br> with virtual <br> intermediate states | mixing phase: <br> between real and <br> virtual amplitudes | Dependence on <br> theory. Very small <br> in $B$ system due to <br> tiny $\Delta \Gamma$. |
| CP from <br> interference <br> between mixing <br> $\&$ decays | direct decay after no <br> net mixing and decay <br> after mixing | phase in mixing: <br> exactly known! | Interference pattern <br> in time due to time- <br> dependence of <br> mixing amplitude. |

In the SM, the CKM matrix is the only source of $C P$ violating phases.

## Time-dependent $\mathbf{C P}$ asymmetries from the interference between mixing and decay

$$
\boldsymbol{A}_{1}=\boldsymbol{e}^{i p_{p}} \cos \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta \boldsymbol{m} \cdot t\right)
$$



$$
\boldsymbol{A}_{2}=\boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{e}^{-2 i \varphi_{M}} \sin \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{t}\right) \boldsymbol{e}^{-i \varphi_{D}}
$$

$$
\neq
$$



$$
\Gamma\left(B_{\text {phys }}^{0}(t) \rightarrow f_{C P}\right)
$$

$$
\Gamma\left(\bar{B}_{p h y s}^{0}(t) \rightarrow f_{C P}\right)
$$

Requires tagging and measurement of time dependence.
$\overline{\boldsymbol{A}}_{2}=\boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{e}^{+2 i \varphi_{M}} \boldsymbol{e}^{+i \varphi_{D}} \cdot \sin \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta \boldsymbol{m} \cdot \boldsymbol{t}\right)$

## Calculating the CP Asymmetry

$$
A_{f_{c P}}(t)=\frac{\left.\left.\left|\left\langle f_{C P}\right| H\right| \bar{B}^{0}(t)\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}-\left|\left\langle f_{C P}\right| H\right| B^{0}(t)\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}}{\left.\left.\left|\left\langle f_{C P}\right| H\right| \bar{B}^{0}(t)\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}+\left|\left\langle f_{C P}\right| H\right| B^{0}(t)\right\rangle\left.\right|^{2}}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\bar{B}^{0}(t) \rightarrow f_{C P}\right)-\Gamma\left(B^{0}(t) \rightarrow f_{C P}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\bar{B}^{0}(t) \rightarrow f_{C P}\right)+\Gamma\left(B^{0}(t) \rightarrow f_{C P}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A_{f_{C P}}(t)=S \cdot \sin (\Delta m \cdot t)-C \cdot \cos (\Delta m \cdot t) \\
S=\frac{2 \cdot \operatorname{Im}(\lambda)}{1+|\lambda|^{2}} \quad C=\frac{1-|\lambda|^{2}}{1+|\lambda|^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

If single direct-decay amp, hadronic matrix element divides out, leaving pure phase.

| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Pure phase factor in B decays } \\ \text { since mixing is dominated by } \\ M_{12} \text { (virtual intermediate states). }\end{array}$ | $\lambda=\sqrt{\frac{M_{12}^{*}-\frac{i}{2} \Gamma_{12}^{*}}{M_{12}-\frac{i}{2} \Gamma_{12}}} \cdot \frac{\left\langle f_{C P}\right\| H\left\|\bar{B}^{0}\right\rangle}{\left\langle f_{C P}\right\| H\left\|B^{0}\right\rangle}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

$|\lambda|=1 \Rightarrow S=\operatorname{Im}(\lambda)$ and $C=0$

## The magic of having just one direct decay amplitude

Even through we are using hadronic final states, the complexities of QCD interactions are completely avoided!

$$
|\lambda|=1 \quad A_{f_{C P}}(t)=\operatorname{Im}(\lambda) \cdot \sin (\Delta m \cdot t)
$$

For the modes $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{J} / \psi \boldsymbol{K}_{S}\left(J / \psi \boldsymbol{K}_{L}\right)$

$$
A_{J / \psi K_{S, L}^{0}}(t)=-\eta_{J / \psi K_{s, L}^{0}} \cdot \sin (2 \beta) \cdot \sin (\Delta m \cdot t)
$$

If CP violation is due to interference between mixing and one direct decay amp:

- Pure $\sin (\Delta m t)$ time dependence
- No dependence of asymmetry on hadronic physics


## The Lorentz Boost

- The asymmetric beam energies of PEP-II allow us to measure quantities that depend on decay time.



## Innermost Detector Subsystem: Silicon Vertex Tracker



## The $\sin 2 \beta$ program: the charmonium benchmark vs. the penguins



- $\sin 2 \beta$ from $b \rightarrow c c s$ modes was last published by BABAR with Runs 1-2 (88 M BB events). Published in PRL, 89, 20182 (2002).
- We will add Runs 3-4 for ICHEP'04 and then publish. $\sin 2 \beta$ is becoming a precision measurement.
- We have also improved our tagging software, so there should be some modest additional improvement beyond the added statistics.
- Theory error $<\mathbf{1 \%}$.


## $\sin 2 \beta$ signal and control Samples (88M BB)






## $\sin 2 \beta$ from $B \rightarrow$ charmonium $K^{0}(\mathbf{8 8 M} \mathbf{B} \overline{\mathbf{B}})$



## Testing the assumptions in the extraction of $\sin 2 \beta$ extraction from charmonium modes

- The extraction of $\sin (2 \beta)$ assumes
\& $\Delta \Gamma / \Gamma=0$ (no lifetime difference between neutral $B$ mass eigenstates)
$\Leftrightarrow|q / p|=1$ (checked with dilepton CP asymmetry measurement.)
$\leftrightarrow$ CPT is conserved

$$
|q / p|=0.998 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.007
$$

- We have performed a detailed study to check these assumptions:
\& 2 papers accepted: PRL and PRD

| Quantity | Measured value | Theory |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $(\Delta \Gamma / \Gamma) \operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re} \lambda)$ | $-0.008 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.018$ | $-0.2 \%$ to $-0.3 \%$ |
| $\|q / p\|$ | $1.029 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.011$ | $\|q / p\|-1=(2.5-6.5) \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $(\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{z})(\operatorname{Re}(\lambda) / \lambda \mid)$ | $0.014 \pm 0.035 \pm 0.034$ | 0 if CPT conserved |
| $\operatorname{Im} \mathrm{z}$ | $0.038 \pm 0.029 \pm 0.025$ | 0 if CPT conserved |

$\rho-\eta$ plane with all constraints ( $\sin 2 \beta$ not combined with others)


## Determination of the sign of $\cos (2 \beta)$ with $B \rightarrow J / \psi(K \pi)$

- From $B \rightarrow J / \psi K_{s}$, we are used to seeing the $\sin (2 \beta) \sin \left(\Delta \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{t}\right)$ term.
- In $B \rightarrow \mathrm{~J} / \psi \mathrm{K}^{* 0}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{* 0} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{s}} \pi^{0}\right)$, terms with $\cos (2 \beta) \sin \left(\Delta m_{d} t\right)$ appear due to interference between CP-even and CP odd amplitudes.
- Problem: there is a sign ambiguity associated with the strong phases!
- Solution

1. Measure magnitudes of strong phases from angular analysis
2. Signs of phases determined from $\mathrm{K} \pi$ s-wave/p-wave interference
3. $t$-dependent CP analysis $\rightarrow$ excludes $\cos 2 \beta=-0.68 @ 89 \%$ C.L.


## The next step for $\sin 2 \beta$ : search for phases from new particles \& couplings in loop processes



Belle Results for $\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \phi \boldsymbol{K}_{S}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\phi K_{S}^{0}}=-0.96 \pm 0.50_{(\text {stat) })}+0.09 \\
& C_{\phi K_{S}^{0}}=+0.15 \pm 0.19_{(\text {ssat) })} \pm 0.07_{(\text {syst) })}
\end{aligned}
$$

## BABAR results for $B \rightarrow \phi K_{S}, \phi K_{L}$ (Runs 1-3)




$$
S_{\phi K}=0.47 \pm 0.34 \text { (stat) }{ }_{-0.06}^{+0.08} \text { (sys) } C_{\phi K}=0.01 \pm 0.33 \text { (stat) } \pm 0.10 \text { (sys) }
$$

## $B \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} K_{S}$ and $B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} K_{S} K_{S}$ branching fractions and CP asymmetry

－There is a substantial rate for $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} K_{S}$ for $\mathrm{M}\left(K^{+} K^{-}\right)$outside the $\phi$ mass region．
－The CP eigenvalue of the final state is not a priori known，but it can be measured from

$$
f_{\text {even }}=\frac{2 \Gamma\left(B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} K_{S}^{0} K_{S}^{0}\right)}{\Gamma\left(B^{0} \rightarrow K^{+} K^{-} K_{S}^{0}\right)}
$$

－For the non－$\phi$ region，

$$
f_{\text {even }}=0.98 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.04
$$




$$
B\left(B^{+} \rightarrow K^{+} K_{S}^{0} K_{S}^{0}\right)=(10.7 \pm 1.2 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-6}
$$

$$
m_{E S}\left(\mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}^{2}\right)
$$

## $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{K}^{+} \boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{K}_{S}$ time-dependent $\boldsymbol{C P}$ asymmetry


$S\left(K^{+} K^{-} K_{S}^{0}\right)=-0.56 \pm 0.25($ stat $) \pm 0.04(\text { syst })_{-0.17}^{+0} \quad\left(f_{\text {even }}\right)$
$C\left(K^{+} K^{-} K_{S}^{0}\right)=-0.10 \pm 0.19$ (stat) $\pm 0.09$ (syst)

$$
(S \simeq-\sin 2 \beta \text { in } \mathrm{SM})
$$

## $B \rightarrow f_{0}(980) K_{S}$ observation and CP asymmetry

Presented at Moriond EW. PRL now submitted. $\quad \mathbf{C P}\left(\mathbf{f}_{0} K_{s}\right)=+1 \quad \mathbf{C P}\left(J / \psi K_{s}\right)=-1$





$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{f_{0} K_{S}^{0}}=-1.62_{-0.51}^{+0.56} \pm 0.09 \pm 0.04 \\
& C_{f_{0} K_{s}^{0}}=0.27 \pm 0.36 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.07
\end{aligned}
$$

## $B \rightarrow f_{0}(980) K_{S}$ observation and CP asymmetry

- Branching fraction

$$
\mathcal{B}\left(B^{0} \rightarrow f_{0}(980) K^{0}\right) \times \mathcal{B}\left(B^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right)=(6.0 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-6}
$$

- $f_{0}(980)$ resonance parameters

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{f_{0}} & =980.6 \pm 4.1 \pm 0.5 \pm 4.0) \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2} \\
\Gamma_{f_{0}} & =\left(43_{-9}^{+12} \pm 3 \pm 9\right) \mathrm{MeV} / c^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Systematic errors
$\leftrightarrow$ Dominant sys. errors on branching fraction: fit procedure (0.26), B background ( $\mathbf{0 . 3 0}$ ), Q2B approx (1.21) in units of $\mathbf{1 0}^{-6}$.
\& Dominant sys. errors on S: fit procedure (0.06) and B background (0.05); Q2B approx is $(0.04)$ so this does not dominate.
- Comments on S and C
$\Leftrightarrow S$ is $1.2 \sigma$ from physical limit and is $1.7 \sigma$ from SM ; no CP violation is excluded at $2.7 \sigma$
\& $C$ is $0.8 \sigma$ from $S M$


## $B A B A R$ measurement of $\sin 2 \beta$ from $B \rightarrow K_{S} \pi^{0}$

- Special vertexing approach



## The $\sin 2 \beta$ program: the charmonium benchmark vs. the penguins



## The Quest for Alpha



- The angle $\alpha$ enters into the $\mathbf{C P}$ asymmetries for $\boldsymbol{b} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{u}$ modes:

$$
B \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}, B \rightarrow \rho^{ \pm} \pi^{\mp}, B \rightarrow \rho^{+} \rho^{-}
$$

- Assuming the $\mathbf{b} \rightarrow \mathbf{u}$ tree diagram dominates

$$
\lambda_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-}}=e^{-i 2 \beta} \frac{\bar{A}_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-}}}{A_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-}}}=e^{-i 2 \beta} e^{-i 2 \gamma}=e^{i 2 \alpha}
$$

- But penguin amplitude is sizeable

$$
\lambda_{\pi^{+} \pi^{-}}=e^{i 2 \alpha} \frac{T+P e^{+i \gamma} e^{i \delta}}{T+P e^{-i \gamma} e^{i \delta}}
$$

## Coping with penguins: isospin analysis

- Gronau-London isospin analysis: $\mathrm{J}=\mathbf{0}$ two-pion state has no $I=1$, so $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$ can be described in terms of two $I$-spin amplitudes.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{+0}=A^{00}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} A^{+-} \\
& \bar{A}^{+0}=\bar{A}^{00}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{A}^{+-}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\mathrm{A}^{+0}$ has no gluonic penguin
$\rightarrow$ base is common to $\mathrm{B}^{+}$and $\mathrm{B}^{-}$
- Grossman-Quinn bound:


$$
\sin ^{2}\left(\alpha-\alpha_{\mathrm{eff}}\right) \leq \frac{\mathcal{B}\left(B^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0}\right)+\mathcal{B}\left(\bar{B}^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0}\right)}{\mathcal{B}\left(B^{+} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{0}\right)+\mathcal{B}\left(B^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{-} \pi^{0}\right)}
$$

- Useful if $\pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ is small.
- Does not require $\pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ events to be tagged since uses sum.


## $B A B A R$ results related to $\alpha$

- Intensive effort in BABAR to explore all modes that can constrain $\alpha$. All of the following will be updated for ICHEP'04.

| Mode | Comments |
| :---: | :---: |
| $B \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{\text {- }}$ time-dependent CP asymmetry | BABAR $S=-0.40 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.03$ <br> Belle observes $S=-0.19 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.0 .05$ <br>  $C=-0.58 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.07$ <br>  $C=0.15 \pm 0.07$ |
| $B \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ branching fraction | $1^{\text {st }}$ observation $>4 \sigma$; published in PRL $\left\|\alpha-\alpha_{\mathrm{eff}}\right\|_{\pi \pi} \leq 47^{0}(90 \%)$ |
| $B \rightarrow \rho^{+} \pi^{-}, \rho^{-} \pi^{+}$time-dependent CP asymmetry | BABAR has only measurement |
| $B \rightarrow \rho^{+} \rho^{-}$branching fraction and polarization | $1^{\text {st }}$ observation; BABAR has only measurement; almost $100 \%$ long. pol. $\left\|\alpha-\alpha_{\mathrm{eff}}\right\|_{\rho \rho} \leq 19^{0}$ (90\%) |
| $B \rightarrow \rho^{+} \rho^{-}$time-dependent CP asymmetry $B \rightarrow \rho^{0} \rho^{0}$ : limit only so far | BABAR has only measurement; currently is best $\alpha$ constraint |

## BABAR and Belle on $B \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$

- Belle observes a rather large negative value of $s_{\pi \pi}$.
- Another analysis to watch closely!


## $266 \pm 24 \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$candidates

| BABAR (Summer 2003) |
| :--- |
| $-0.40 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.03$ |


| Belle (Winter 2003) |
| :--- |
| $-1.23 \pm 0.41 \pm 0.08$ |
| ate |$=-1.00 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.07$





## Observation of $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \rho^{+} \rho^{-}$and polarization measurement

- From the BABAR Physics Book, 1998
$\leftrightarrow$ At first glance, the decays $B \rightarrow \rho \rho$ appear to be completely analogous to $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$. However, there is an important difference. Because the $\rho$ is a vector meson, the $\rho \rho$ pair can be in a state of angular momentum $L=0,1$, or 2. States of even and odd angular momentum correspond to states of even and odd CP, respectively...
- After discovering $B \rightarrow \rho^{+} \rho^{-}$, we measured the polarization

$$
\Gamma_{L} / \Gamma=0.98_{-0.08}^{+0.02} \pm 0.03
$$

$\rightarrow$ Nearly pure CP even eigenstate!


## Measurement of CP asymmetry in $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \rho^{+} \rho^{-}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\text {long }}=-0.19 \pm 0.33_{\text {stat }} \pm 0.11_{\text {syst }} \\
& C_{\text {long }}=-0.23 \pm 0.24_{\text {stat }} \pm 0.14_{\text {syst }}
\end{aligned}
$$

§ Main systematics: CPV in B bkg § Detailed study of B background: 209 B decay modes simulated

§ Isospin analysis: interference, NR contributions, I=1 amplitudes neglected

From L. Roos Moriond talk

## Plots from CKM fitter group: I-spin analysis of $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \rho^{+} \rho^{-}$

- Presented by Lydia Roos at Moriond EW

§ Constraint on $\alpha$ in perfect agreement with the Standard Fit;

$$
\alpha=\left(96 \pm 10_{\text {stat }} \pm 4_{\text {syst }} \pm 13_{\text {peng }}\right)^{n}
$$

## Rare Decays: A Major Growth Industry

- BABAR has five separate analysis groups focussed on rare $\mathbf{B}$ (or charm) decays. ( $\tau$ group also looks at rare decays.)
\& rare hadronic decays ( $\mathbf{3}$ groups), electroweak penguins, leptonic decays (discussed many hadronic rare decays earlier)
- We have pushed our sensitivity to the $10^{-6}$ level for many processes and even lower for some processes.
- Main goal is to search for effects of new physics in processes with Flavor Changing Neutral Currents (FCNC). Due to the presence of loops, such processes can be sensitive to new physics.
- Large industry of theoretical predictions for SM and SUSY models.
- Branching fractions, CP asymmetries, kinematic distributions, I-spin relations can all be affected by new physics.



## Electroweak Penguins and Related Processes

- Rarest $\mathbf{B}$ decay so far observed: $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{l}^{+} \boldsymbol{l}^{-}$

~8o significance
$\mathcal{B}\left(B \rightarrow K \ell^{+} \ell^{-}\right)=\left(6.5_{-1.3}^{+1.4} \pm 0.4\right) \times 10^{-7}$



Dilepton mass distribution


## Electroweak Penguins and Related Processes

- So far, observations are in line with theoretical predictions.
- Some of the best observables are kinematic distributions which we are just beginning to have enough events to study.



## Time-dependent $\mathbf{C P}$ asymmetry measurement for $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^{* 0} \gamma ; \mathrm{K}^{* 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{s}} \pi^{0}$

- $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }} \mathbf{t}$-dependent CP measurement for any radiative penguin process! Submitted to PRL.
- Uses same beam-constrained vertexing technique that we used for $B \rightarrow K_{S} \pi^{0}$.
- The photon helicity is a final state quantum number that is highly correlated with $\mathbf{B}$ flavor. This tends to destroy the interference between mixing and decay, assuming SM couplings:

- In the SM

$$
S=2\left(m_{s} / m_{b}\right) \sin (2 \beta) \approx 4 \%
$$

- In some left-right symmetric extensions, $S$ can be up to $50 \%$. [Atwood, Gronau, and Soni, PRL, 79, 185 (1997)]
- With Run 1-3 data ( $\mathbf{1 2 4} \mathbf{~ M ~ B B ~ e v e n t s ) : ~}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{K^{*} \gamma} & =0.25 \pm 0.63 \pm 0.14 \\
C_{K^{*} \gamma} & =-0.57 \pm 0.32 \pm 0.09
\end{aligned}
$$

## Charmless B Branching Ratios



## Physics with the Recoil Method



## Fully reconstruct one B meson in the event.

The remaining particles in the event must be associated with the other B meson.

courtesy Daniele del Re
Xu
You have a single B beam!!

- Reconstruct $B, D$ mesons in $\sim 1000$ modes: $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \pi, D^{(*)} \pi \pi^{0}, D^{(*)} 3 \pi, \ldots$
- Efficiency $\sim 0.4 \%$ or $\sim 4000$ B mesons/fb ${ }^{-1}$ (charged and neutral)
- Will soon have $\sim 800 \mathrm{~K}$ events tagged with a fully reconstructed $B$ meson

Measurement of $\left|V_{u b}\right|$ with inclusive semileptonic decays (but not restricted to lepton-endpoint region!)

Fit to the $m X$ distribution


Subtracted spectrum


$$
\begin{gathered}
B\left(B \rightarrow X_{u} N\right)=(2.24 \pm 0.27 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.39) \times 10^{-3} \\
\left|V_{u b}\right|=(4.62 \pm 0.28(\text { stat }) \pm 0.27(\text { sys }) \pm 0.48(\text { thy })) \times 10^{-3}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Measurement of $\left|V_{c b}\right|$ from Inclusive Semileptonic Decay

- Three papers: 1 PRL and 2 PRDs accepted
- Study Lepton energy spectrum and mass spectrum of hadronic recoil system (below).




$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{|ll|}
\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{|l}
\mid c b \\
\operatorname{Br}\left(B \rightarrow X_{c} e \mathrm{~V}\right) \\
m_{b}(1 \mathrm{GeV})
\end{array}\right. & =\left(41.4 \pm 0.4_{\exp } \pm 0.4_{\mathrm{HQE}} \pm 0.6_{\mathrm{th}}\right) \times 10^{-3} \\
m_{b}(1 \mathrm{GeV})-m_{c}(1 \mathrm{GeV}) & =\left(4.61 \pm 0.16_{\mathrm{exp}} \pm 0.06_{\mathrm{HOE}}\right) \% \\
\mu_{\pi}^{2} & \left.=0.05_{\mathrm{exp}} \pm 0.04_{\mathrm{HQE}} \pm 0.02_{\mathrm{th}}\right) \mathrm{GeV} \\
& =\left(0.45 \pm 0.03_{\mathrm{exp}} \pm 0.02_{\mathrm{HQE}} \pm 0.01_{\mathrm{th}}\right) \mathrm{GeV} \\
\mathrm{exp} & \left. \pm 0.04_{\mathrm{HQE}} \pm 0.01_{\mathrm{th}}\right) \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \\
\hline
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Measurement of $\boldsymbol{m}_{b}$ and $\boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{c}}$ from Inclusive Semileptonic Decay

Measurements and Predictions of the b-Quark Mass
(MS scheme) PDG2003


Measurements and Predictions of the c-Quark Mass
(MS Scheme) PDG2003


$$
\overline{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{b}}}\right)=4.22 \pm 0.06 \mathrm{GeV} \quad \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{R} \quad \overline{\mathrm{~m}}_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{~m}}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=1.33 \pm 0.10 \mathrm{GeV}
$$

Conversion from kinetic mass scheme
to $\overline{\text { MS }}$ scheme with hep-ph/9708372, hep-ph/0302262
See also report from CKM WS hep-ph/0304132

## Signals for new states



- Masses below DK threshold $\rightarrow$ natural decay channel is forbidden.
- Decay widths are within experimental resolution, about 10 MeV .
- Pionic decays are I-spin violating, explaining the narrow observed widths.


## Interpretation: $\overline{\mathbf{c s}}$ states with $l=1$

- In limit of infinitely heavy charm-quark mass, its spin decouples from the dynamics, so that the combined angular momentum $j$ of the light-quark orbital and spin angular momentum is a good quantum number.
- For $\mathbf{p}$-wave states, get $\mathbf{j}=1 / 2$ and $\mathbf{j}=3 / 2$. These levels are split by the spin-orbit interaction. Hyperfine interactions mean that j is not truly a good quantum number.



## Decay pattern for excited charm mesons

- The properties of the new states are consistent with $\boldsymbol{J}^{P}=0+$ and $\boldsymbol{J}^{P}=1+$.
- Pionic decays are I-spin violating, explaining the narrow observed widths.



## Observation of $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{D}_{\text {sJ }} \boldsymbol{D}^{(*)}$ Modes

## Presented by G. Calderini at Moriond QCD

|  | Decay Mode | Branching Fraction $\times 10^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | this analysis | Belle [1] |
| I | $B^{0} \rightarrow D_{s J}^{*}(2317)^{+} \bar{D}^{-}\left[D_{s J}^{*}(2317)^{+} \rightarrow D_{s}^{+} \pi^{0}\right]$ | $2.09 \pm 0.40 \pm 0.34_{-0.42}^{+0.70}$ | $0.86 \pm 0.26_{-0.26}^{+0.33}$ |
| II | $B^{0} \rightarrow D_{s j}^{*}(2317)^{+} \bar{D}^{*-}\left[D_{s,}^{*}(2317)^{+} \rightarrow D_{s}^{+} \pi^{0}\right]$ | $1.12 \pm 0.38 \pm 0.20_{-0.22}^{+0.37}$ |  |
| III | $B^{+} \rightarrow D_{s,}^{*}(2317)^{+} \bar{D}^{0} \quad\left[D_{s J}^{*}(2317)^{+} \rightarrow D_{s}^{+} \pi^{0}\right]$ | $1.28 \pm 0.37 \pm 0.22_{-0.26}^{+0.42}$ | $0.81 \pm 0.24_{-0.27}^{+0.30}$ |
| IV | $B^{+} \rightarrow D_{s J}^{*}(2317)^{+} \bar{D}^{* 0}\left[D_{s J}^{*}(2317)^{+} \rightarrow D_{s}^{+} \pi^{0}\right]$ | $1.91 \pm 0.84 \pm 0.50_{-0.38}^{+0.63}$ |  |
| V | $B^{0} \rightarrow D_{s j}^{*}(2460)^{+} \bar{D}^{-} \quad\left[D_{s,}^{*}(2460)^{+} \rightarrow D_{s}^{*+} \pi^{0}\right]$ | $1.71 \pm 0.72 \pm 0.27_{-0.35}^{+0.57}$ | $2.27 \pm 0.68{ }_{-0.68}^{+0.73}$ |
| VI | $B^{0} \rightarrow D_{s j}^{*}(2460)^{+} \bar{D}^{*-}\left[D_{s j}^{*}(2460)^{+} \rightarrow D_{s}^{*+} \pi^{0}\right]$ | $5.89 \pm 1.24 \pm 1.16_{-1.17}^{+1.96}$ | - |
| VII | $B^{+} \rightarrow D_{s,}^{*}(2460)^{+} \bar{D}^{0}\left[D_{s,}^{*}(2460)^{+} \rightarrow D_{s}^{*+} \pi^{0}\right]$ | $2.07 \pm 0.71 \pm 0.45_{-0.41}^{+0.69}$ | $1.19 \pm 0.36_{-0.49}^{+0.61}$ |
| VIII | $B^{+} \rightarrow D_{s J}^{*}(2460)^{+} \bar{D}^{* 0}\left[D_{s J}^{*}(2460)^{+} \rightarrow D_{s}^{*+} \pi^{0}\right]$ | $7.30 \pm 1.68 \pm 1.688_{-1.43}^{+2.40}$ | - |
| IX | $B^{0} \rightarrow D_{s J}^{*}(2460)+\bar{D}^{-}\left[D_{s J}^{*}(2460)^{+} \rightarrow D_{s}^{+} \gamma\right]$ | $0.92 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.11_{-0.19}^{+0.30}$ | $0.82 \pm 0.25_{-0.19}^{+0.22}$ |
| X | $B^{0} \rightarrow D_{s J}^{*}(2460)^{+} \bar{D}^{*-}\left[D_{s J}^{*}(2460)^{+} \rightarrow D_{s}^{+} \gamma\right]$ | $2.60 \pm 0.39 \pm 0.34_{-0.52}^{+0.86}$ | , |
| XI | $B^{+} \rightarrow D_{s J}^{*}(2460)^{+} \bar{D}^{0}\left[D_{s J}^{*}(2460)^{+} \rightarrow D_{s}^{+} \gamma\right]$ | $0.80 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.12_{-0.16}^{+0.26}$ | $0.56 \pm 0.17_{-0.15}^{+0.16}$ |
| XII | $B^{+} \rightarrow D_{s J}^{*}(\mathbf{2 4 6 0})^{+} \bar{D}^{* 0}\left[D_{s J}^{*}(\mathbf{2 4 6 0})^{+} \rightarrow D_{s}^{+} \gamma\right]$ | $2.26 \pm 0.47 \pm 0.43_{-0.44}^{+0.74}$ | - |

[1] PRL 91, 262002 (2003)

## Statistics based on $112.5 \mathrm{fb}-1\left(124 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{BB}\right)$


from G. Calderini Moriond talk

## Plans for ICHEP'04

Run 4 is on track...


Run 4 goal


## PEP-II/BABAR integrated luminosity and summer data samples



## Key analyses for Run4/Summer 2004

## BLACK DIAMOND (data up to mid-July)

$\Leftrightarrow \sin 2 \beta$ from charmonium
\& $B \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$(incl. $\left.K^{+} \pi^{-}, K^{+} K^{-}\right)$
\& $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \phi \boldsymbol{K}_{S}$
\& one more from list below?
BLUE SQUARE (data up to mid-June)
4) $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{K}^{+} \boldsymbol{K}^{-} \boldsymbol{K}_{S}$
4) $B \rightarrow K_{S} \pi^{0}$
\& $B \rightarrow f_{0} K_{S}$
\& $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \eta^{\prime} \boldsymbol{K}_{S}$
\& $B \rightarrow \rho^{0} K_{S}$
4 $B \rightarrow \rho^{+} \rho^{-}$
$\Leftrightarrow B \rightarrow \rho^{+} \pi^{-}$Dalitz
\& $B \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{0}$
$\Leftrightarrow B \rightarrow \rho^{0} \rho^{0}$
↔ $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \phi \boldsymbol{K}^{*}$ angular analysis

## GREEN CIRCLE (data up to May 1)

\& $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow D^{*} D^{*} \mathbf{C P}(\mathrm{t})$ [Full reco \& incl $\left.\mathrm{D}^{*}\right]$
$\Leftrightarrow B \rightarrow J / \psi K \pi \quad \cos (2 \beta) \mathbf{C P}(\mathrm{t})$
\& $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{0}, \mathrm{~K}^{+} \pi^{0}$
$\Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{K}^{0}, \mathrm{~K}^{0} \pi^{+} ; \boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{0} \mathrm{~K}^{0}$
4) $\boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \boldsymbol{h}^{+} \boldsymbol{h}^{-} \boldsymbol{h}^{0}$ Dalitz
$\Leftrightarrow \quad B \rightarrow K_{S} \pi^{0} \gamma \quad \mathbf{C P}(\mathbf{t})$
$\Leftrightarrow B \rightarrow \rho \gamma$
4) $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{*} l^{+} l^{-}$
\& $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow D^{*} \pi$ : $\mathbf{C P}(\mathbf{t}), \sin (2 \beta+\gamma) \quad[$ full $\&$ partial D*]; Tag side CPV
4) $B \rightarrow D^{0}(C P-) K^{-}$
\& $B \rightarrow D(K \pi) K(A D S)$
\& $B \rightarrow D^{0}$ (3 body) $K^{-} \quad$ Dalitz (?)
\& $B \rightarrow D \rho(?)$
\& $\boldsymbol{B} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{D}_{s}(*)\left(\rho, \boldsymbol{a}_{1}\right)$

There are no longer just 3-4 "key" analyses!

## Analyses Targeted for ICHEP '04 (I)

|  | AWG Code | Analysis Name. | Publication 5 tarus | Taxget Puh Purind | Target Joursal | Conference Status | Target Canfereme | Reriew Commt | $\triangle$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | bexese.0206 |  | AWGEC | 204 |  | AFPEOVEDAETERHAL | [CHEPO4 |  |  |
|  | hrase -0207 | BF and $A \subset P$ of E- bo Dro K. | A WGIE | 2704 |  |  | DCHEPD4 | mestrnas |  |
|  | hate-0303 | Todv of B -to DOCAP-) E . | $\triangle \mathrm{SCO}$ | $3 \times 04$ |  |  | [CFEPPO4 |  |  |
|  | hese-0304 | todvof' 5 [8* ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | AWGEC | 3104 |  |  | [CHEPO4 | cormull? |  |
|  | hase-0308 |  | AxCO | 3104 |  |  | TCFEPO4 |  |  |
| 6 | bresa |  | 2WGEC | 2.104 |  |  | D.EHEPO4 | mamliz [ [1N23] |  |
|  | breas 0301 |  | AWCHE | 3704 |  |  | TCHEPD4 | cormul3 |  |
| 8 | kexas -03n2 | Datitz sushryis of B to DO (3-bocty K. | AWG | 3704 |  |  | CHEP ${ }^{\text {O }}$ |  |  |
| 9 | hesee.00016 |  | axg | 3104 |  |  | [CHEP04 |  |  |
| to | hrasa 04003 |  | AW5 | 3104 |  |  | DCHEPD4 |  |  |
| 11 | brace 040405 |  | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{WF}}$ | 3704 |  |  | DCHEPD4 |  |  |
| 12 | breeo 0406 | \$0 to De $\mathrm{Dr}_{6}$ | Plermed | 3104 |  |  | DCHEPD4 |  |  |
| 13 | hreea 04008 | \# to DPMp phar pi | AW5 | 3704 |  |  | DCHEPT4 |  |  |
| 14 | Chamm-0201 | 2mikotoni- Chum Mivies | A WGGAC | 1.04 | PRD-FAFID |  | TCFEPO4 | cormi25 |  |
| 1.5 | Chanes 020.02 |  | EC-EIC | 9104 | PRL |  | CHEPO4 |  |  |
| 16 | Chanem 02008 | Saerele for CP violation in $\mathrm{D}+\rightarrow \mathrm{K}+\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{T}_{2}+$ | EC-EEP | 204 | PRD-EAFID |  | [CHEPO4 |  |  |
| 17 | Chane 03002 | Dinadiative | amb | 204 | PRD |  | [CHEPO4 | cammas |  |
| 18 | Clamm-0304 |  $x$. | $A$ WG | 304 | PED |  | [CFEPO4 |  |  |
| 19 | Chanm-0308 | Stadr of Xi - ${ }_{\text {c }}$ | AxC | 4104 | PRD |  | [CFEPPO4 |  |  |
| 30 | Chanm-0310 | Lembla CChito 2apenseed braxching fretione | RC-EEC | 3004 | PED |  | [CFEPO4 |  |  |
| 21 | Chanm-0312 | Anach for Do-xtun alum | $\triangle$ PGGC | 3104 | PRL |  | TCFEPO4 | cermem 52 |  |
| $2_{2}$ | Clamens.0901 | Camak for B0 $\rightarrow$ Tri Gamma | AWGAC | 204 | PRED-EAFID |  | [CHEPO4 | comml24 [1]:05] |  |
| 23 | Clamenow 0360 |  | AW0 | 3.04 | PED |  | TCFEPO4 |  |  |
| 24 | Chanum CS.03 |  | FSC-FEQ | $3 \times 04$ | PEL |  | [CFEEPO4 |  |  |
| 25 | Clamenon-03,04 | cauck bos $B=2 \mathrm{SX} . \mathrm{X} \Rightarrow$ abow XRS | ATMG | 3 M 4 | PREL |  | [CFEPO4 |  |  |
| 26 | Chammerces | Tacible Channonuman Practiction ymom 20.58 ct ? | AWGEC |  |  |  | DCHEPT4 | mamula |  |
| 27 | Clanmon.0311 | Suack for Fortoration Ruponeens chars B basom | $A x^{2}$ | 304 | PRL |  | TCFEPO4 |  |  |
| 28 | Clamuon OS312 | chanmosis on the weosil of s. 5 |  | 3104 | PEL |  | [CFEEPO4 |  |  |
| 2 | Cbarmer Com 4 |  for exchoive Hibearp to charmanium fimul states | $A W$ | 3704 | PRL |  | DCHEPD4 |  |  |
| 50 | Clasmen 04.03 |  | A $\mathrm{F}_{5}$ | 4104 | PRL |  | TCFEPO4 |  |  |
| 31 | Cham1/2-0001 |  | Staitod | 9104 | PEL |  | CHEPO4 | esmon 4 |  |
| 32 | Clumlezt 01.01 |  | A BC | 3014 | PRL |  | DCHEPT4 | memaml4 |  |
| 33 | Clumbentuass |  | Stastel | 304 | PRL |  | WCHEPT4 | monaml4 | - |
| -1 |  | Document: Done |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |

## Analyses Targeted for ICHEP＇04（II）

| 33 | Chums2b－03，03 |  | Started | 3.04 | PRL |  | ICHEP＇04 | comml4 |  | $\triangle$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | Chmls2b－04，01 | B＋－＞ $\mathrm{h}+$ pio BF and ACP | AWG | 3.04 | PRL |  | ICHEP＇04 | comml4 |  |  |
| 35 | Chums2b－04，02 | B $\rightarrow$ KS pio time dep analysis | Started | 3.04 | PRL | APPROVEDINTERNAL | ICHEP＇04 | comml00 |  |  |
| 36 | Chmulsb－0001 | Analysis of B＋－＞pippi－pi＋（Dalitz） | AWG | 4,04 | PRL |  | ICHEP＇04 |  |  |  |
| 37 | Chmls $56-0201$ | CP（t）in BO 0 －shot－pi－＋（Dalitz） | AWG | 4,04 | PRL |  | ICHEP＇04 |  |  |  |
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## Conclusions

- BABAR physics productivity is very high: we are producing 40-50 papers/year. The collaboration is extremely enthusiastic about our physics program.
- The remarkable performance of PEP-II is creating a wealth of new physics opportunities, and there are about 200 active physics analyses. Most of them are performed by small groups, providing opportunities for graduate students and postdocs.
- We and our colleagues in Belle have significantly expanded the set of hadronic penguin modes used for $\sin 2 \beta$ measurements. Such modes provide a promising way to search for new physics.
- We are making significant progress in the measurement of $\alpha$, a major goal in heavy-quark physics.
- We are exploring a vast territory of rare decays. This area is a major part of our physics program that is a window on new physics.


## Conclusions, continued

- Due to BABAR's open trigger, which is characteristic of $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$ experiments, we are able to study a huge number of processes and to make discoveries in unexpected areas. The discovery of the new charm-strange states is just one example.
- We have used a variety of approaches that enable us to pursue measurements previously considered impossible. We are using these methods to improve the precision on the magnitudes of CKM elements, and we are determining key QCD parameters that characterize $B$-meson decays.
- Nearly all of our measurements are statistics limited. We need the DOE's continued strong support for the $B$ factory to realize the huge potential of this program.

