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Introduction

• The TESLA damping ring fast kicker must inject/eject every $n^{th}$ bunch, leaving adjacent bunches undisturbed.

• The minimum bunch separation inside the damping rings (which determines the size of the damping rings) is limited by the kicker design.

• We are investigating a “Fourier series kicker” in which a series of rf kicking cavities is used to create a kicking function with periodic zeroes and an occasional spike.
Outline

Overview

• TESLA damping rings and kickers
• how a “Fourier series kicker” might work

$p_T$ and $dp_T/dt$

Flattening the kicker’s $dp_T/dt$

Some of the other points:
• finite separation of the kicker elements
• timing errors at injection/extraction

Conclusions
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Overview: linac and damping ring beams

Linac beam (TESLA TDR):
• 2820 bunches, 337 nsec spacing (~ 300 kilometers)
• Cool an entire pulse in the damping rings before linac injection

Damping ring beam (TESLA TDR):
• 2820 bunches, ~20 nsec spacing (~ 17 kilometers)
• Eject every $n^{th}$ bunch into linac (leave adjacent bunches undisturbed)

17 km damping ring circumference is set by the minimum bunch spacing in the damping ring: Kicker speed is the limiting factor.
Overview: TESLA TDR fast kicker

Fast kicker specs (à la TDR):

• \( \int B \, dl = 100 \) Gauss-meter = 3 MeV/c (= 30 MeV/m × 10 cm)
• stability/ripple/precision \( \sim .07 \) Gauss-meter = 0.07

TDR design: bunch “collides” with electromagnetic pulses traveling in the opposite direction inside a series of traveling wave structures.

TDR Kicker element length \( \sim 50 \) cm; impulse \( \sim 3 \) Gauss-meter. (Need 20-40 elements.)

Structures dump each electromagnetic pulse into a load.
Something new: a “Fourier series kicker”

Fourier series kicker would be located in a bypass section.

While damping, beam follows the dog bone-shaped path (solid line).

During injection/extraction, deflectors route beam through bypass (straight) section. Bunches are kicked onto/off orbit by kicker.
Fourier series kicker

Kicker would be a series of $N$ “rf cavities” oscillating at harmonics of the linac bunch frequency $1/(337 \text{ nsec}) = 2.97 \text{ MHz}$:

$$p_T = A \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{j=N_{\text{cavities}}-1} A_j \cos \left( (\omega_{\text{high}} + j\omega_{\text{low}}) t \right) \right] \quad \omega_{\text{low}} = \frac{2\pi}{337 \text{ ns}}$$
Original idea

Run transverse kicking cavities at 3 MHz, 6 MHz, 9 MHz,…

Cavities oscillate in phase with equal amplitudes.

Problems: slope at zero-crossings might induce head-tail differences; LOTS of different cavity designs (one per frequency)
Better idea: permits one (tunable) cavity design

Run transverse kicking cavities at much higher frequency; split the individual cavity frequencies by 3 MHz.

Kicked bunches are here

…undisturbed bunches are here (call these “major zeroes”)

Still a problem: finite slope at zero-crossings.
\[ dp_T/dt \] considerations

We’d like the slopes of the \( p_T \) curves when not-to-be-kicked bunches pass through the kicker to be as small as possible so that the head, center, and tail of a (20 ps rms) bunch will experience about the same field integral.

\[ \Delta f = 3\text{MHz} \]

\( p_T \) in the vicinity of two zeroes
Phasors: visualizing the $p_T$ kick

The horizontal component of the phasor (vector) sum indicates $p_T$.

Here’s a four-phasor sum as an example:
Phasors when $p_T = 0$ (30 cavities)

![Phasor plot]

- Zero crossing 3
  - \( t \text{ (ns)} = 33.333 \)
  - Scaled kick = \(-1.31006 \times 10^{-15}\)
  - \((x, y) = (-1.16765 \times 10^{-15}, 3.59276 \times 10^{-15})\)
  - \((vx, vy) = (0.870195, -0.282743)\)

- Zero crossing 4
  - \( t \text{ (ns)} = 44.444 \)
  - Scaled kick = \(-7.17944 \times 10^{-16}\)
  - \((x, y) = (-1.77423 \times 10^{-15}, 1.61728 \times 10^{-14})\)
  - \((vx, vy) = (-0.072663, 0.691343)\)
Flattening out $dp_T/dt$ at the zero-crossings

How large a value of $dp_T/dt$ is acceptable?

- rms bunch length: 20 psec (6 mm)
- maximum allowable kick error: $\sim 0.07\%$

\[
\frac{0.020 \text{ nsec} \cdot dp_T}{dt} \frac{1}{p_T} < 0.07 \times 10^{-2}
\]

A plot for a 30 cavities system is shown on the next slide.
Flattening out $dp_T/dt$ at the zero-crossings

30-cavity system: $p_T$ error vs. bunch number, one pass through the kicker.

Probably not good enough.
More dramatic $d p_T / dt$ reduction…

…is possible with different amplitudes $A_j$ in each of the cavities.

We (in particular Guy Bresler) figured this out last summer.

Bresler’s algorithm finds sets of amplitudes which have $d p_T / dt = 0$ at evenly-spaced “major zeroes” in $p_T$.

There are lots of different possible sets of amplitudes which will work.
More dramatic $dp_T/dt$ reduction...

Here’s one set for a 29-cavity system (which makes 28 zeroes in $p_T$ and $dp_T/dt$ in between kicks), with 300 MHz, 303 MHz,…:
Kick corresponding to those amplitudes

The “major zeroes” aren’t quite at the obvious symmetry points.
Some of the zeroes...

Note that they also satisfy \( dp_{\tau}/dt = 0 \).
How well do we do with these amplitudes?

Old, equal-amplitudes scheme:

New, intelligently-selected-amplitudes scheme:

Wow!
Multiple passes through the kicker

Previous plots were for a single pass through the kicker. Most bunches make multiple passes through the kicker. Modeling of effects associated with multiple passes must take into account damping ring’s:

- synchrotron tune (0.10 in TESLA TDR)
- horizontal tune (72.28 in TESLA TDR)

We (in particular, Keri Dixon) worked on this last summer.

With equal-amplitude cavities some sort of compensating gizmo on the injection/extraction line (or immediately after the kicker) is probably necessary. However…
Multiple passes through the kicker

...selecting amplitudes to zero out $p_T$ slopes fixes the problem! Here’s a worst-case plot for 300 MHz,... (assumes tune effects always work against us).
Phasors with amplitudes chosen to give $dp_T/dt = 0$ and $p_T = 0$ (29 cavities)

The phasor sums show less geometrical symmetry. (Who cares?)
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Phasors with amplitudes chosen to give $dp_T/dt = 0$ and $p_T = 0$ (29 cavities)

![Phasor plot](image1)

Phasor plot

$t_{(nsec)} = 34.4828$
Scaled kick = $-4.2498 \times 10^{-16}$
Zero crossing #3

$(x,y) = (-2.00884, -1.90287)$
$(v_x,v_y) = (4.07264, -3.86523)$

![Phasor plot](image2)

Phasor plot

$t_{(nsec)} = 45.977$
Scaled kick = $7.12755 \times 10^{-16}$
Zero crossing #4

$(x,y) = (0.675104, -1.27338)$
$(v_x,v_y) = (2.70045, 1.14132)$

zero #3

zero #4

etc.
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It looks promising.

Cavity amplitude stability and phase stability seem to be the next issue to investigate.

GG will spend a couple of days a week at Fermilab as a visiting scientist calendar year 2004 to work on Linear Collider issues, including kickers.

Stay tuned—
Budget items

1. two undergraduates: full time during the summer, ~5-10 hours per week per student during the academic year, indirect costs.
   • ~ $14k per year

2. PC’s for students
   • ~ $6k, first year only

3. small amount of travel
Comments on doing this at a university

• Participation by talented undergraduate students makes LCRD 2.22 work as well as it does. The project is well-suited to undergraduate involvement.

• We get most of our work done during the summer: we’re all free of academic constraints (teaching/taking courses). The schedule for evaluating our progress must take this into account.

• Last summer support for students came from (NSF-sponsored) REU program. We borrowed PC’s from the UIUC Physics Department instructional resources pool. This summer we’d like to support them with grant money.
Conclusions

• We haven’t found any obvious show-stoppers yet.

• It seems likely that intelligent selection of cavity amplitudes will provide us with a useful way to null out some of the problems present in a more naïve scheme.

• We will begin studying issues relating to precision and stability later this winter…

• This is a lot of fun.