New Particles

Christopher Hearty University of British Columbia / IPP 27-Oct-2008

Physics Book

PRL 90:242001, 2003



$$D_{s1}(2460)^+$$

• Shortly thereafter, second narrow state observed in $D_{s}^{*}(2112)^{+} \pi^{0}$. Initial uncertainty: new particle? Or $D_{s}^{*}(2317)^{+} + random photon?$



More recently, another D_s meson at 2.86 GeV

BABAR Citations

1) Observation of a narrow meson decaying to D+(s) pi0 at a mass of 2.32-GeV/c**2. By BABAR Collaboration (B. Aubert et al.). SLAC-PUB-9711, BABAR-PUB-03-011, Apr 2003. 7pp. Press Release from SLAC. Published in Phys.Rev.Lett.90:242001,2003. e-Print: hep-ex/0304021 464 citations TOPCITE = 250+ References | LaTeX(US) | LaTeX(EU) | Harvmac | BibTeX | Keywords | Cited 464 times Abstract and Postscript and PDF from arXiv.org (mirrors: au br cn de es fr il in it ip kr ra tw uk za aps lanl) Journal Server **BaBar Publications Database BaBar Password Protected Publications Database CERN Library Record** pdgLive (measurements quoted by PDG) Press Release about this paper SLAC Document Server EXP SLAC-PEP2-BABAR Bookmarkable link to this information Observation of CP violation in the B0 meson system. By BABAR Collaboration (B. Aubert et al.). SLAC-PUB-8904, BABAR-PUB-01-18, Jul 2001. 8pp. **Press release**. Published in Phys.Rev.Lett.87:091801.2001. e-Print: hep-ex/0107013 428 citations

TOPCITE = 250+

References | LaTeX(US) | LaTeX(EU) | Harvmac | BibTeX | Keywords | Cited 428 times

PRD 71:071103, 2005

X(3872)



AWG meeting June 2003 motivation: background to J/ψ K_L; test factorization...

 $Mass=3866\pm 6$





Charmonium

 Two heavy quarks, spins ↑↓ or ↑↑ + orbital angular momenta ⇒ mass spectrum of states is basically calculable

Below DD threshold states are narrow; above, generally broad



Alternatives



 Distinguish between alternatives by mass; branching fractions; charged or neutral partners; quantum numbers.

PRD 77:111101, 2008



- Are these the same X⁰? $\Delta m = 2.7 \pm 1.6$ MeV.
- Is the decay $X \rightarrow J/\psi\rho, \rho \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$? If so, $X \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0 \pi^0$ forbidden.

PRD 71: 031501, 2005



• Would seem to rule out tetraquark interpretation $B^0 \rightarrow X^- K^+ < 5.4 \times 10^{-6} vs$ $B^+ \rightarrow X^0 K^+ = (11.4 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-6}$

PRD 74:071101, 2006 0809.0042 [hep-ex]





 $X \rightarrow \psi(2S)\gamma / X \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma = 3.5 \pm 1.4; \quad X \rightarrow \psi(2S)\gamma / X \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^{+}\pi^{-} = 1.1 \pm 0.4$

Establishes one quantum number: C = +1
X → ψ(2S)γ suppressed for D⁰D^{*0} molecule?

PRD 77:011102, 2008



Nass is 4σ higher than J/ $\psi\pi^+\pi^-$, but could be threshold effect.

What is the X(3872)?

- CDF angular analysis: J^{PC} = 1⁺⁺ or 2⁻⁺. Belle analysis favors 1⁺⁺.
 - » 1++: would favor molecule;
 - » 2⁻⁺: would favor charmonium;
 - » but decay rates are also relevant.
- Still an open question...

PRL 101:082001, 2008



- May be $\chi_{c1}(2P)$, standard charmonium,
 - » might expect larger DD* decay rate and radiative decays



PRD 76:111105, 2007



Y(4324)



 Hybrid 1⁻⁻ states expected. Lightest consistent with 4.26 GeV. Expect other hybrids, some with non-standard J^{PC} such as 1⁻⁺.

Z(4430)⁻

- Study $B \rightarrow \psi \pi^{-} K$ to look for Z(4430)⁻ seen by Belle in $\psi(2S)\pi^{-}$. Would be four-quark state.
- Huge effort to understand K π⁻ mass & angular distributions. Both ψ(2S) π⁻ and J/ψ π⁻.



BABAR-Analysis-Document 2049, v11 BABAR-PUB-08/xxx SLAC-PUB-xxxx hep-ex/xxxx

Search for the $Z(4430)^-$ at BABAR

B. Aubert,¹ M. Bona,¹ Y. Karyotakis,¹ J. P. Lees,¹ V. Poireau,¹ E. Prencipe,¹ X. Prudent,¹ V. Tisserand,¹ J. Garra Tico,² E. Grauges,² L. Lopez^{ab},³ A. Palano^{ab},³ M. Pappagallo^{ab},³ G. Eigen,⁴ B. Stugu,⁴ L. Sun,⁴ G. S. Abrams,⁵ M. Battaglia,⁵ D. N. Brown,⁵ R. N. Cahn,⁵ R. G. Jacobsen,⁵ L. T. Kerth,⁵ Yu. G. Kolomensky,⁵ G. Lynch,⁵ I. L. Osipenkov,⁵ M. T. Ronan,^{5, *} K. Tackmann,⁵ T. Tanabe,⁵ C. M. Hawkes,⁶ N. Soni,⁶ A. T. Watson,⁶ H. Koch,⁷ T. Schroeder,⁷ D. Walker,⁸ D. J. Asgeirsson,⁹ B. G. Fulsom,⁹ C. Hearty,⁹ T. S. Mattison,⁹ J. A. McKenna,⁹ M. Barrett,¹⁰ A. Khan,¹⁰ V. E. Blinov,¹¹ A. D. Bukin,¹¹ A. R. Buzykaev,¹¹ V. P. Druzhinin,¹¹ V. B. Golubev,¹¹ A. P. Onuchin,¹¹ S. I. Serednyakov,¹¹ Yu. I. Skovpen,¹¹ E. P. Solodov,¹¹ K. Yu. Todyshev,¹¹ M. Bondioli,¹² S. Curry,¹² I. Eschrich,¹² D. Kirkby,¹² A. J. Lankford,¹² P. Lund,¹² M. Mandelkern,¹² E. C. Martin,¹² D. P. Stoker,¹² S. Abachi,¹³ C. Buchanan,¹³ H. Atmacan,¹⁴ J. W. Gary,¹⁴ F. Liu,¹⁴ O. Long,¹⁴ G. M. Vitug,¹⁴ Z. Yasin,¹⁴ L. Zhang,¹⁴ V. Sharma,¹⁵ C. Campagnari,¹⁶ T. M. Hong,¹⁶ D. Kovalskyi,¹⁶ M. A. Mazur,¹⁶ J. D. Richman,¹⁶ T. W. Beck,¹⁷ A. M. Eisner,¹⁷ C. J. Flacco,¹⁷ C. A. Heusch,¹⁷ J. Kroseberg,¹⁷ W. S. Lockman,¹⁷ A. J. Martinez,¹⁷ T. Schalk,¹⁷ B. A. Schumm,¹⁷ A. Seiden,¹⁷ M. G. Wilson,¹⁷ L. O. Winstrom,¹⁷ C. H. Cheng,¹⁸ D. A. Doll,¹⁸ B. Echenard,¹⁸ F. Fang,¹⁸ D. G. Hitlin,¹⁸ I. Narsky,¹⁸ T. Piatenko,¹⁸ F. C. Porter,¹⁸ R. Andreassen,¹⁹ G. Mancinelli,¹⁹ B. T. Meadows,¹⁹ K. Mishra,¹⁹ M. D. Sokoloff,¹⁹ P. C. Bloom,²⁰ W. T. Ford,²⁰ A. Gaz,²⁰ J. F. Hirschauer,²⁰ M. Nagel,²⁰ U. Nauenberg,²⁰ J. G. Smith,²⁰ K. A. Ulmer,²⁰ S. R. Wagner,²⁰ R. Ayad,^{21,†} A. Soffer,^{21,‡} W. H. Toki,²¹ R. J. Wilson,²¹ E. Feltresi,²² A. Hauke,²² H. Jasper,²² M. Karbach,²² J. Merkel,²² A. Petzold,²² B. Spaan,²² K. Wacker,²² M. J. Kobel,²³ R. Nogowski,²³ K. R. Schubert,²³ R. Schwierz,²³ A. Volk,²³ D. Bernard,²⁴ G. R. Bonneaud,²⁴ E. Latour,²⁴ M. Verderi,²⁴ P. J. Clark,²⁵ S. Playfer,²⁵ J. E. Watson,²⁵

BABAR-Analysis-Document 2049, v11 BABAR-PUB-08/xxx SLAC-PUB-xxxx hep-ex/xxxx

The $Z(4430)^{-}$ does not exist

Search for the $Z(4430)^-$ at BABAR

B. Aubert,¹ M. Bona,¹ Y. Karyotakis,¹ J. P. Lees,¹ V. Poireau,¹ E. Prencipe,¹ X. Prudent,¹ V. Tisserand,¹ J. Garra Tico,² E. Grauges,² L. Lopez^{ab},³ A. Palano^{ab},³ M. Pappagallo^{ab},³ G. Eigen,⁴ B. Stugu,⁴ L. Sun,⁴ G. S. Abrams,⁵ M. Battaglia,⁵ D. N. Brown,⁵ R. N. Cahn,⁵ R. G. Jacobsen,⁵ L. T. Kerth,⁵ Yu. G. Kolomensky,⁵ G. Lynch,⁵ I. L. Osipenkov,⁵ M. T. Ronan,^{5, *} K. Tackmann,⁵ T. Tanabe,⁵ C. M. Hawkes,⁶ N. Soni,⁶ A. T. Watson,⁶ H. Koch,⁷ T. Schroeder,⁷ D. Walker,⁸ D. J. Asgeirsson,⁹ B. G. Fulsom,⁹ C. Hearty,⁹ T. S. Mattison,⁹ J. A. McKenna,⁹ M. Barrett,¹⁰ A. Khan,¹⁰ V. E. Blinov,¹¹ A. D. Bukin,¹¹ A. R. Buzykaev,¹¹ V. P. Druzhinin,¹¹ V. B. Golubev,¹¹ A. P. Onuchin,¹¹ S. I. Serednyakov,¹¹ Yu. I. Skovpen,¹¹ E. P. Solodov,¹¹ K. Yu. Todyshev,¹¹ M. Bondioli,¹² S. Curry,¹² I. Eschrich,¹² D. Kirkby,¹² A. J. Lankford,¹² P. Lund,¹² M. Mandelkern,¹² E. C. Martin,¹² D. P. Stoker,¹² S. Abachi,¹³ C. Buchanan,¹³ H. Atmacan,¹⁴ J. W. Gary,¹⁴ F. Liu,¹⁴ O. Long,¹⁴ G. M. Vitug,¹⁴ Z. Yasin,¹⁴ L. Zhang,¹⁴ V. Sharma,¹⁵ C. Campagnari,¹⁶ T. M. Hong,¹⁶ D. Kovalskyi,¹⁶ M. A. Mazur,¹⁶ J. D. Richman,¹⁶ T. W. Beck,¹⁷ A. M. Eisner,¹⁷ C. J. Flacco,¹⁷ C. A. Heusch,¹⁷ J. Kroseberg,¹⁷ W. S. Lockman,¹⁷ A. J. Martinez,¹⁷ T. Schalk,¹⁷ B. A. Schumm,¹⁷ A. Seiden,¹⁷ M. G. Wilson,¹⁷ L. O. Winstrom,¹⁷ C. H. Cheng,¹⁸ D. A. Doll,¹⁸ B. Echenard,¹⁸ F. Fang,¹⁸ D. G. Hitlin,¹⁸ I. Narsky,¹⁸ T. Piatenko,¹⁸ F. C. Porter,¹⁸ R. Andreassen,¹⁹ G. Mancinelli,¹⁹ B. T. Meadows,¹⁹ K. Mishra,¹⁹ M. D. Sokoloff,¹⁹ P. C. Bloom,²⁰ W. T. Ford,²⁰ A. Gaz,²⁰ J. F. Hirschauer,²⁰ M. Nagel,²⁰ U. Nauenberg,²⁰ J. G. Smith,²⁰ K. A. Ulmer,²⁰ S. R. Wagner,²⁰ R. Ayad,^{21,†} A. Soffer,^{21,‡} W. H. Toki,²¹ R. J. Wilson,²¹ E. Feltresi,²² A. Hauke,²² H. Jasper,²² M. Karbach,²² J. Merkel,²² A. Petzold,²² B. Spaan,²² K. Wacker,²² M. J. Kobel,²³ R. Nogowski,²³ K. R. Schubert,²³ R. Schwierz,²³ A. Volk,²³ D. Bernard,²⁴ G. R. Bonneaud,²⁴ E. Latour,²⁴ M. Verderi,²⁴ P. J. Clark,²⁵ S. Playfer,²⁵ J. E. Watson,²⁵



Pentaquarks

BABAR failed to discover Pentaquarks.



$\Lambda_{c}(2940)^{+}$

A new charm baryon decaying to p D⁰ (not pD⁺)



 $M = 2939.8 \pm 1.3 \pm 1.0 \text{ MeV}$ $\Gamma = 17.5 \pm 5.2 \pm 5.9 \text{ MeV}$

Observation of the η_b



 QCD should be able to explain Y(1S)/η_b mass difference (20–100 MeV).

Analysis Method

• $\Upsilon(3S) \rightarrow \gamma \eta_b$

- Look for photon only. $E_{\gamma} = 911 \text{ MeV}$ if $M(\eta_b) = 9.4 \text{ GeV}$.
- Problem: many other photons. Particular issue is $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \Upsilon(1S)$ ($E_{\gamma} = 856$ MeV). Depending on $M(\eta_b)$, detector resolution leads to significant overlap with signal.

PRL 101:071801, 2008

Observed Spectrum



Light Higgs A⁰

- Many extensions to the standard model include a light higgs: $\Upsilon \rightarrow \gamma A^0$. BF as large as 10⁻⁴.
- If dark matter particle χ is also light, then $A^0 \rightarrow \chi \chi$ may be dominant
 - \Rightarrow final state is γ + "nothing"



SEARCH FOR SINGLE-PHOTON PRODUCTION IN e⁺ e⁻ ANNIHILATION AT 29 GeV CENTER-OF-MASS ENERGY

CHRISTOPHER HEARTY

1987

0808.0017 [hep-ex]

$A^0 \rightarrow invisible$

Enabled by extensive modifications to trigger.



90% CL upper on BF (0.2 – 32) × 10⁻⁶

Summary

- Although not a big part of our original physics plan, new particle searches (and discoveries) have become one of the most exciting parts of the BABAR program.
- The Y running has produced new opportunities.
- Apologies to anyone whose analysis I neglected, and thanks to everyone who helped with the slides, even if they didn't know it.