A Review of BNL Direct-Wind Superconducting IR Magnet Experience

Presented by Brett Parker for the Brookhaven Superconducting Magnet Division

30th Advanced ICFA Beam Dynamics Workshop on High Luminosity e+e- Collisions



Magnet Division

October 13-16, 2003 Stanford, California



BROOKHAVEN Superconducting Magnet Division "A Review of BNL Direct-Wind Superconducting IR Magnet Experience."

- Overview of Past and Current Projects.
 - HERA-II Luminosity Upgrade IR Magnets.
 - NLC Superconducting Final Focus Magnets.
 - BEPC-II Luminosity Upgrade IR Magnets.
- Winding Technology Overview.
 - New Types of "Serpentine" Coil Windings.
 - Winding Test of BEPC-II Serpentine Coil.
- Some Issues Relevant for Super B-Factory.
 - Cryostat & Warm Bore Allowance.
 - Quadrupole Coil Layout Options.
 - Magnetic Length Vs. Slot Length.
 - Dipole Torque Considerations.

A Summary of HERA-II Luminosity Upgrade Magnet Production.







BROOKHAVEN NATIONAL LABORATORY Superconducting

Magnet Division

H-Dipole, Quad, V-Dipole, Skew Quad & Sextupole coils fit inside a very tight radial envelope!



Superconducting Quadrupoles for the NLC Final Focus.



1111(**4**:**/**//

Superconducting

Magnet Division

With a compact FF Section quadrupole, QD0, the NLC crossing angle solution permits the disrupted beam to pass outside the cryostat into a beam diagnostic line

Section AA at QD0 Front End



that ends at a high-power beam absorber. Work is in progress at BNL to produce and test compact, high-gradient, small aperture superconducting quadrupoles.



NLC Nested Serpentine Winding Patterns

BROOKHAVEN Superconducting Magnet Division Upgrade at IHEP, Beijing, P. R. China.

Name	Function	Layers	Conductor
SCQ	Main Quad	8	7 strand cable
SCB (HDC)	Hor. Dipole	2	7 strand cable
VDC	Vert. Dipole	2	1 strand wire
SKQ	Skew Quad	2	1 strand wire
AS1	Anti-Solenoid	6	MRI wire
AS2	Anti-Solenoid	2	MRI wire
AS2	Anti-Solenoid	6	MRI wire



Compared to HERA-II magnets, these have almost double the aperture but only 1/7 the length. The radial budget does give more space for the cryostat (...that is used to provide a warm bore tube, inner and outer heat shields and LHe cooling flow on both sides of a thicker coil pack).

BROOKHAVEN Superconducting Magnet Division Detector Solenoid Compensation Scheme.





BROOKHAVEN Superconducting Magnet Division Machine Works: Serpentine Coil Example.

BROOKHAVEN Superconducting Magnet Division BEPC-II SCQ Coil Winding Pattern.

|B| per ampere of excitation current for wire segments in lower coil layer.





Warm coil testing before vacuum impregnation was done and the fiber-glass prestress wrap was added.



Test winding quenched at 911 A which is 90% of cable short sample.

Double-Layer Winding Schematic.

BROOKHAVEN Superconducting Magnet Division Superconduction Magnet Division Magnet Division Superconduction Magnet Division Magnet Divisio



BROOKHAVEN Superconducting Magnet Division First Pass at Super-B IR Magnet Cryostat Radial Buildup: Assume Same As BEPC-II.

Unlike HERA-II, the BEPC-II magnets have inner/outer gas cooled heat shields plus LHe cooling on both sides of the coil pack.

Ø245 [9.646]

Ø 190 [7, 480]

Ø 173 74 16 84

Ø 280 50 [1] 04311

FE DETAIL (

For BEPC-II we have just over 25 mm radial space between the inner coil and warm bore and just over 30 mm radial space between the outer coil radius and the outside of the cryostat^{*}.



*But 60 + 25 + 16 > 100 mm, even without other coils and 30 mm for outer cryostat! Problem?

ROOKHAVEN Superconducting Magnet Division
Recommendation: Try to Avoid Tapering Coil Support Tube as Done for HERA-II*.

Larger radius needs larger number of turns.

For HERA-II upgrade GG magnet coil radius was tapered from 65 to 70 mm in order for cryostat nose to fit inside existing H1 "SpaCal" detector. These magnets met demanding field quality goals.

But maximum number of turns are fixed by what fits smallest radius.

While our winding machine and coil design software are flexible enough to allow us to wind coils on tapered support tubes, such tapering does add to production complexity (especially coil curing) and results in magnets that are relatively inefficient.

*GG coil tapering was lesser of two evils. Splitting 1.5 m coil in two parts deemed worse ("two magnets... all ends").

BROOKHAVEN Superconducting Magnet Division Getting eRHIC Electron Triplet Closer to IP.



BROOKHAVEN Superconducting Magnet Division A Super-B Q1 Scenario: Staggered Coil Starts and Lengths That Permit Smaller Coil Radius.

With $L_{coil} = 800 \text{ mm}$ we have $L_{mag} = 640 \text{ mm}$ Center is about 375 mm (i.e. 25 mm shift) Then $I_{op} = 648 \text{ A}$ for G = 33.25 T/mAt 0 mm, $R_{warm \ bore} = 45 \text{ mm}$ & 800 mm, $R_{warm \ bore} = 60 \text{ mm}$

Even for untapered coil, magnetic length < 800 mm. Here gradient is increased 25% above 26.6 T/m to compensate for short 640 mm L_{mag}.

Note with 1.5 T solenoid and 0.3 T dipole fields added to quadrupole self-field, the peak field reaches almost 3.5 T (or 90% short sample).



*BEPC-II has 18.7 T/m in 1.0 T detector solenoid and a warm bore radius about 9 mm larger.

BROOKHAVEN Superconducting Magnet Division Length for Super-B Dipole Windings.

With $L_{coil} = 750$ mm we have $L_{mag} = 545$ mm Center is about 350 mm (i.e. 50 mm shift) Then $I_{op} = 206 \text{ A}^*$ for B = 0.44 T(Note: 0.30 x 800 / 545 = 0.44 T) 0.4

- Lose more magnetic length from dipole ends than from quadrupole ends (more turns per pole & larger coil radius).
- Dipole coil also shortened to match quad taper. Net result is to significantly increase B-field!



*Original calculation done for 0.98 T instead of 0.3 T had required 673 A excitation.

ROOKHAVEN Superconducting Magnet Division When Inside Anti-Solenoids (Quads Don't).



Torque on Current Loop

 $\vec{\tau} = \vec{S} \times \vec{B}$



- There is a net torque on a dipole in a solenoidal field (but not on quadrupole due to symmetry).
- Magnitude of torque is I_{Dipole} · S_{Proj} · B_{BES-III}.
- Even though anti-solenoid changes the field seen by the dipole, a net torque remains on cold mass!

$$\overline{\tau}_{\text{Dipole}} = \overline{\tau}_{\text{BES-III}} + \overline{\tau}_{\text{Anti}} = 0$$
 means that



Max. $\tau_{\text{BES-III+HDC}}$ = 1330 N·m (980 ft·lbs).



BROOKHAVEN Superconducting Magnet Division as Specified: Can This Torque be Reduced?

When placed in a 1.5 T solenoidal field and the dipole is energized at 206 A (0.24 T·m), each Super-B cold mass will experience 15. kN·m (11. kft·lbs) torque in the vertical plane (compared to 1.3 kN·m for BEPC-II).

With small vertical IP spot size, Super-B luminosity must be quite sensitive to vertical offsets....

Can correct center shift with vertical corrector, but then have extra horizontal torque! Given that the quadrupole coil does not experience a torque in a solenoidal field and that 30 T/m × 0.010 m => 0.30 T, we recommend generating the dipole field at beam position via transverse offsets as much as possible*.

*But only if such movement is "natural," i.e. should not increase quadrupole radius too much!

BROOKHAVEN Superconducting Magnet Division "A Review of BNL Direct-Wind Superconducting IR Magnet Experience."

Summary:

- From previous IR design work, we see that the Super B-Factory magnets look to be (just) doable vía BNL dírect-wind technology.
- However very close collaboration & careful optimization is needed to develop a prudent design.

Thank you for your attention.

